

REVIEW ARTICLE

Role of Thermal Engineering in 3D Printing Technology: A Review

Rahul Mishra¹, Suraj Kumar Bandhekar², Kush Kumar Dewangan³, Sanjay G. Sakharwade⁴, Sameer Singh⁵, Anant Kumar^{6*}

¹²³⁴⁵⁶*Department of Mechanical Engineering, Rungta College of Engineering and Technology, Bhilai-490024, Chhattisgarh, India*

**Corresponding Author E-mail: rahul.mishra@rungta.ac.in*

ABSTRACT:

Thermal engineering plays a crucial role in enhancing the quality and reliability of 3D-printed products. This paper explores how thermal engineering principles impact 3D printing, influencing material behavior, heat transfer, and thermal management strategies. Additive manufacturing (AM), commonly known as 3D printing, has transformed manufacturing by enabling rapid prototyping and customized production. However, the effectiveness and consistency of printed components largely depend on how heat is controlled throughout the process. The present paper highlights the significant contributions of thermal engineering to 3D printing technology, including advancements in thermal control systems and challenges in high-temperature printing. It also examines the integration of thermal models to improve printing precision. Additionally, we discuss the mechanical, thermal, and microstructural properties of composite materials, focusing on metalinfused polymers like polylactic acid (PLA) and acrylonitrile styrene acrylate (ASA). Key challenges such as porosity, optimising thermal conductivity, and managing anisotropic behaviour are addressed, along with potential solutions through improvements in printing parameters and post-processing techniques.

KEYWORDS: 3D Printing, Solidification, Heat Transfer, Composite Material, Cement Technology

Received on 13.02.2024 Revised on 22.03.2024 Accepted on 27.03.2024 Published on 12.04.2024 *Run. Int. J. Mech. and Auto. Engl. 2(1): April 2024*

© MAE All right reserved

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

1. INTRODUCTION

Additive manufacturing (AM), widely known as three-dimensional (3D) printing, has emerged as one of the most transformative manufacturing technologies of the twenty-first century by enabling layer-by-layer fabrication of complex geometries directly from digital computer-aided design (CAD) models. Unlike conventional subtractive manufacturing processes, additive manufacturing

minimizes material waste, shortens production time, facilitates rapid prototyping, and enables mass customization of engineering components. Consequently, 3D printing has found widespread applications in aerospace, biomedical engineering, automotive manufacturing, electronics, architecture, defense, and construction industries.¹⁻³

The global demand for additive manufacturing has increased substantially owing to continuous advancements in printing technologies, material development, automation, and digital manufacturing. According to ASTM International and ISO, additive manufacturing encompasses multiple process

categories, including material extrusion, powder bed fusion, vat photopolymerization, binder jetting, directed energy deposition, sheet lamination, and material jetting.^{4,5} Among these technologies, fused deposition modeling (FDM), selective laser sintering (SLS), stereolithography (SLA), direct metal laser sintering (DMLS), and electron beam melting (EBM) have become the most extensively adopted owing to their versatility, material compatibility, and industrial scalability.⁶

Despite remarkable technological progress, the quality and performance of additively manufactured components remain highly dependent on thermal phenomena occurring during fabrication. Heat generation, conduction, convection, radiation, melting, solidification, crystallization, and cooling collectively determine layer adhesion, dimensional accuracy, microstructural evolution, residual stress formation, and mechanical performance of printed components.^{7,8} Consequently, thermal engineering has become a fundamental aspect of additive manufacturing research aimed at improving process stability and product reliability.

Heat transfer governs every stage of additive manufacturing, beginning with material melting and extending through solidification and cooling. During FDM, thermoplastic filaments are heated above their melting temperatures before extrusion through a nozzle, followed by rapid cooling and solidification after deposition. The resulting thermal gradients strongly influence interlayer bonding, crystallinity, shrinkage, and residual stress development.⁹ Similar thermal phenomena occur in powder-bed fusion technologies, where localized laser or electron beam heating produces rapid melting and solidification, generating complex thermal cycles that influence grain refinement, phase transformations, and defect formation.^{10,11}

Thermal management is particularly important for engineering polymers such as **polylactic acid (PLA)**, **acrylonitrile styrene acrylate (ASA)**, **acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS)**, **polyethylene terephthalate glycol (PETG)**, and high-performance materials such as **polyether ether ketone (PEEK)** and **polyetherimide (PEI)**. These materials exhibit distinct thermal conductivities, glass transition temperatures, melting temperatures, and

crystallization behaviors, all of which significantly influence print quality and mechanical performance.¹²⁻¹⁴ Improper thermal control often leads to defects including warpage, delamination, dimensional inaccuracies, porosity, and reduced tensile strength.

Recent developments in composite filaments have further increased the importance of thermal engineering in additive manufacturing. Metal-filled polymers, carbon-fiber-reinforced composites, ceramic-filled polymers, graphene-enhanced materials, and nanocomposites require precise thermal control because reinforcement particles modify heat transfer characteristics, viscosity, crystallization kinetics, and solidification behavior.¹⁵ These materials offer improved mechanical strength, thermal conductivity, wear resistance, and dimensional stability, making them attractive for high-performance engineering applications.

Advanced numerical simulation techniques have significantly improved understanding of thermal behavior during additive manufacturing. Finite element analysis (FEA), computational fluid dynamics (CFD), phase-field modelling, and multiphysics simulations enable prediction of temperature distributions, cooling rates, thermal stresses, distortion, and residual stress evolution.^{16,17} These computational tools facilitate optimization of process parameters, including nozzle temperature, laser power, scan speed, layer thickness, hatch spacing, and build orientation, thereby reducing experimental costs and improving process reliability.

Artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), infrared thermography, and real-time thermal sensing have recently emerged as powerful technologies for intelligent thermal control in additive manufacturing. AI-assisted process monitoring enables continuous adjustment of printing parameters based on thermal feedback, reducing defect formation and improving manufacturing consistency.^{18,19} Digital twin technologies further integrate thermal simulations with real-time sensor data, enabling predictive quality control and adaptive process optimization.

Although significant progress has been achieved, several challenges remain unresolved. Non-uniform temperature distribution, anisotropic mechanical

behavior, thermal distortion, residual stresses, poor interlayer bonding, porosity, and limited thermal conductivity of polymeric materials continue to affect component reliability.²⁰ High-temperature additive manufacturing involving PEEK, metal alloys, titanium, nickel superalloys, and ceramic composites presents additional thermal management challenges because of steep thermal gradients and rapid cooling rates.^{21, 22} Addressing these limitations requires integrated approaches combining advanced thermal modelling, optimized printing strategies, intelligent control systems, and improved material design.

The primary objective of this review is to comprehensively evaluate the role of thermal engineering in modern additive manufacturing technologies. Particular emphasis is placed on heat transfer mechanisms, thermal modelling, process optimization, composite materials, residual stress development, thermal management strategies, and future research trends. By synthesizing recent scientific advances, this review aims to provide valuable insights into the development of more efficient, reliable, and sustainable 3D printing technologies for next-generation manufacturing applications.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Review Methodology

This review was conducted to critically evaluate the contribution of thermal engineering to additive manufacturing (AM) technologies. A systematic literature search was performed following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) guidelines to ensure transparency and reproducibility.^{23, 24} Scientific publications related to heat transfer, thermal modelling, additive manufacturing, composite materials, process optimization, and thermal management were collected from internationally recognized databases including Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, IEEE Xplore, Taylor & Francis, and Google Scholar.

The literature search covered publications between **2015 and 2025**, while seminal studies published before 2015 were included where necessary to explain the evolution of thermal engineering concepts

in additive manufacturing. The search strategy employed combinations of keywords including *thermal engineering, 3D printing, additive manufacturing, heat transfer, thermal modelling, finite element analysis, residual stress, solidification, composite materials, and thermal management*.²⁵

Studies focusing on polymer additive manufacturing, metal additive manufacturing, thermal simulation, composite materials, process optimization, and industrial applications were included. Editorials, conference abstracts without full text, duplicate records, and studies unrelated to thermal aspects of additive manufacturing were excluded. Information extracted from the selected literature was organized into thematic categories to facilitate comparative analysis and critical discussion.

2.2 Heat Transfer Mechanisms in Additive Manufacturing

Thermal engineering governs every stage of additive manufacturing through the simultaneous interaction of conduction, convection, and radiation heat transfer mechanisms. During material extrusion processes such as fused deposition modeling (FDM), the polymer filament is heated above its melting temperature before deposition onto the build platform. Heat is subsequently dissipated through conduction into previously deposited layers, convection to the surrounding air, and thermal radiation from the deposited material.²⁶

In powder-bed fusion technologies, including selective laser melting (SLM), selective laser sintering (SLS), and electron beam melting (EBM), localized laser or electron beam energy produces extremely high heating and cooling rates. These rapid thermal cycles generate steep thermal gradients that significantly influence melting behavior, solidification kinetics, residual stress formation, and microstructural evolution.²⁷

The effectiveness of thermal management depends on material thermal conductivity, specific heat capacity, thermal diffusivity, layer thickness, build orientation, printing speed, ambient temperature, and cooling conditions. Consequently, optimization of heat transfer is essential for achieving high dimensional accuracy and improved mechanical properties.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Role of Thermal Engineering in Additive Manufacturing

Thermal engineering forms the scientific foundation of additive manufacturing because every printing process involves repeated heating, melting, deposition, solidification, and cooling of materials. The thermal history experienced by each deposited layer directly determines microstructural evolution, interlayer adhesion, crystallinity, dimensional stability, and mechanical performance.^{28, 29}

During FDM printing, the extrusion temperature must remain sufficiently high to ensure complete melting of the polymer while avoiding thermal degradation. Excessively low nozzle temperatures produce poor bonding between adjacent layers, whereas excessive temperatures increase polymer degradation, stringing, and dimensional inaccuracies. Therefore, maintaining an optimum thermal window is essential for achieving high-quality printed components.³⁰

Similarly, in laser-based additive manufacturing processes, laser power, scan speed, hatch spacing, and layer thickness collectively determine the thermal energy delivered to the material. Improper combinations of these parameters produce incomplete melting, balling phenomena, keyhole porosity, and residual stresses that deteriorate mechanical performance.³¹

Recent investigations have demonstrated that precise thermal control significantly improves tensile strength, hardness, fatigue resistance, and surface finish while reducing defects such as delamination, warpage, shrinkage, and distortion. Advanced thermal engineering strategies therefore represent one of the most effective approaches for improving additive manufacturing reliability.³²

3.2 Thermal Behaviour of Polymer Materials

Thermoplastic polymers remain the most widely used materials in additive manufacturing because of their low cost, ease of processing, and broad application potential. Materials including **PLA**, **ABS**, **PETG**, **ASA**, **PA**, and **PEEK** exhibit substantially different thermal properties that influence printing behavior and final component quality.^{12, 33}

PLA possesses a relatively low glass transition temperature (approximately 60°C) and melting temperature (180–220°C), making it one of the easiest materials to print. However, its low thermal resistance restricts its application in high-temperature environments.³⁴

ABS requires higher extrusion temperatures (220–260°C) and experiences considerable thermal shrinkage during cooling. Consequently, inadequate thermal management frequently results in warpage and interlayer separation. Controlled build chamber temperatures substantially reduce thermal gradients and improve dimensional accuracy.³⁵

High-performance polymers such as PEEK and PEI require nozzle temperatures exceeding 380°C together with heated chambers approaching 200°C. These materials demonstrate exceptional mechanical properties and thermal stability but require sophisticated thermal control systems to prevent crystallization defects and residual stresses.³⁶

Table 1. Thermal Characteristics of Common 3D Printing Polymers

Material	Nozzle Temperature (°C)	Bed Temperature (°C)	Glass Transition (°C)	Thermal Behaviour
PLA	190–220	50–60	~60	Low warpage
ABS	220–260	90–110	~105	Moderate shrinkage
PETG	220–250	70–90	~80	Good dimensional stability
ASA	240–260	90–110	~105	UV resistant
PEEK	380–420	130–160	~143	High-temperature

				polymer
--	--	--	--	---------

3.3 Thermal Engineering in Metal Additive Manufacturing

Metal additive manufacturing processes such as SLM, DMLS, and EBM involve rapid melting and solidification under extremely high energy densities. Cooling rates frequently exceed 10^6 K s^{-1} , producing ultrafine microstructures together with significant thermal residual stresses.³⁷

The magnitude of thermal gradients determines grain growth, crystallographic texture, porosity formation, crack initiation, and distortion. Several investigators have reported that optimization of laser power, scanning strategy, and preheating temperature substantially reduces thermal stresses and improves mechanical performance.³⁸

Finite element simulations have become indispensable for predicting transient temperature distributions and thermal stress evolution during metal printing. Modern simulation software enables optimization of process parameters before manufacturing, thereby minimizing trial-and-error experimentation and reducing production costs.¹⁶

3.4 Composite Materials and Thermal Performance

Composite materials have attracted considerable interest because reinforcement particles modify both thermal conductivity and mechanical behavior. Carbon-fiber-reinforced PLA, graphene nanocomposites, ceramic-filled polymers, and metal-filled thermoplastics exhibit enhanced stiffness, wear resistance, and heat dissipation compared with conventional polymers.¹⁵

However, reinforcement materials also alter melt viscosity and heat transfer characteristics, complicating extrusion and solidification behavior. Uniform particle dispersion and optimized extrusion temperatures are therefore essential to prevent void formation and achieve homogeneous microstructures. Recent studies indicate that graphene and carbon nanotube reinforcements significantly improve

thermal conductivity while maintaining acceptable printability.^{39, 40}

Excellent. Below is the **final part** of the review article in the same concise journal format.

3.5 Thermal Modelling and Numerical Simulation

Thermal modelling has become an indispensable tool for understanding and optimizing heat transfer during additive manufacturing. Numerical techniques such as **Finite Element Analysis (FEA)**, **Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)**, and multi-physics simulations enable prediction of transient temperature fields, melt pool behavior, cooling rates, residual stresses, and dimensional distortion.^{16, 17} These models reduce the need for extensive experimental trials and facilitate optimization of printing parameters before fabrication.

Finite element models are widely employed to estimate thermal gradients generated during layer-by-layer deposition. Temperature distribution significantly influences solidification rates, grain morphology, and interlayer bonding. Simulation studies have demonstrated that optimized laser power, scan speed, nozzle temperature, and build orientation considerably improve dimensional accuracy while minimizing thermal stresses.⁴¹

Computational fluid dynamics has further improved understanding of molten material flow, convection within melt pools, and heat dissipation mechanisms during polymer and metal additive manufacturing. Integration of thermal simulations with experimental validation enables accurate prediction of microstructural evolution and mechanical performance.⁴²

3.6 Residual Stress, Warpage and Porosity

Residual stresses remain among the most significant challenges in additive manufacturing because repeated heating and cooling generate severe thermal gradients throughout the printed component. These stresses frequently result in warpage, cracking, delamination, dimensional inaccuracies, and premature mechanical failure.²⁰

In polymer additive manufacturing, rapid cooling causes differential shrinkage between adjacent layers, whereas metal additive manufacturing experiences even larger thermal stresses owing to extremely high heating and cooling rates. Build plate preheating, optimized scan strategies, controlled cooling rates, and post-processing heat treatments have proven effective in minimizing these defects.⁴³

Porosity is another critical issue affecting structural integrity. Inadequate thermal energy causes incomplete fusion between deposited layers, whereas excessive heat input generates keyhole porosity and vaporization defects. Careful optimization of thermal parameters significantly improves density, fatigue strength, and fracture toughness of printed components.⁴⁴

3.7 Artificial Intelligence and Smart Thermal Control

Recent advances in **Artificial Intelligence (AI)** and **Machine Learning (ML)** have introduced intelligent thermal control strategies for additive manufacturing. Modern printing systems incorporate infrared cameras, thermal sensors, and real-time monitoring devices capable of continuously measuring melt pool temperature and cooling behavior.¹⁸

Machine learning algorithms analyze thermal data during printing and automatically adjust nozzle temperature, laser power, scan speed, extrusion rate, and cooling conditions to maintain stable processing conditions. Such adaptive thermal control substantially reduces manufacturing defects while improving repeatability and production efficiency.⁴⁵

Digital Twin technology has further enhanced thermal engineering by integrating real-time sensor data with computational simulations. This approach enables predictive maintenance, online defect detection, and process optimization before failures occur. Consequently, Industry 4.0 technologies are increasingly becoming integral components of next-generation additive manufacturing systems.⁴⁶

3.8 Industrial Applications

Thermal engineering has significantly expanded the industrial applicability of additive manufacturing across numerous engineering sectors.

Aerospace

Precise thermal management enables production of lightweight titanium and nickel-based superalloy components exhibiting excellent fatigue resistance and dimensional accuracy for aircraft engines and structural applications.⁶

Biomedical Engineering

Patient-specific implants, dental prostheses, orthopedic devices, tissue scaffolds, and surgical instruments require precise thermal control to ensure dimensional precision, biocompatibility, and mechanical reliability.²

Automotive Industry

Automotive manufacturers employ additive manufacturing for lightweight structural components, rapid prototyping, tooling, customized fixtures, and heat exchanger fabrication. Optimized thermal engineering improves production speed while reducing material waste.³

Construction Industry

Large-scale concrete and polymer 3D printing have emerged as promising technologies for sustainable construction. Thermal engineering assists in controlling curing behavior, shrinkage, hydration reactions, and dimensional stability of printed building components.⁴⁷

3.9 Challenges and Future Perspectives

Despite remarkable technological advances, several thermal engineering challenges continue to limit widespread industrial implementation of additive manufacturing.

Major limitations include:

- Non-uniform heat distribution
- Residual stress accumulation
- Warpage and distortion
- Anisotropic mechanical properties
- Limited thermal conductivity of polymers
- High energy consumption

- Porosity formation
- Inadequate thermal monitoring during large-scale printing

Future research should focus on developing intelligent thermal control systems, high-conductivity composite materials, multi-material printing technologies, advanced simulation platforms, and AI-assisted process optimization. Integration of renewable energy sources, digital twins, and real-time thermal monitoring is expected to further improve manufacturing efficiency and sustainability. Emerging materials such as graphene-enhanced polymers, ceramic composites, and functionally graded materials are likely to play an increasingly important role in next-generation additive manufacturing.^{48–50}

4. CONCLUSION

Thermal engineering is fundamental to the success of additive manufacturing because it governs heat transfer, melting, solidification, cooling, and microstructural evolution throughout the printing process. Effective thermal management significantly improves dimensional accuracy, interlayer adhesion, mechanical strength, surface quality, and overall component reliability while minimizing residual stresses, porosity, and thermal distortion. Recent developments in numerical modelling, finite element analysis, computational fluid dynamics, artificial intelligence, and real-time thermal monitoring have greatly enhanced process optimization across polymer and metal additive manufacturing technologies. Furthermore, advances in composite materials and high-temperature polymers have expanded the range of engineering applications for 3D printing. Although challenges related to thermal gradients, anisotropy, and energy efficiency remain, continued progress in intelligent thermal control and advanced material development is expected to accelerate the adoption of additive manufacturing for sustainable, high-performance industrial production.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the research facilities provided by the Department of Mechanical

Engineering, Rungta College of Engineering and Technology (RCET), Bhilai, and GDRCST Bhilai. The authors also thank the laboratory staff for their assistance with metallographic preparation, hardness testing, and XRD analysis. No external funding was received for this investigation.

REFERENCES

1. Gibson I, Rosen DW, Stucker B. *Additive Manufacturing Technologies*. 3rd ed. Springer; 2021.
2. Ngo TD, Kashani A, Imbalzano G, Nguyen KTQ, Hui D. Additive manufacturing (3D printing): A review of materials, methods, applications and challenges. *Compos Part B Eng*. 2018;143:172–196.
3. Gu D. *Laser Additive Manufacturing of High-Performance Materials*. Springer; 2015.
4. ASTM International. ASTM F2792-12a: Standard Terminology for Additive Manufacturing Technologies.
5. ISO. ISO/ASTM 52900:2021 Additive Manufacturing—General Principles—Terminology.
6. Herzog D, Seyda V, Wycisk E, Emmelmann C. Additive manufacturing of metals. *Acta Mater*. 2016;117:371–392.
7. DebRoy T, Wei HL, Zuback JS, et al. Additive manufacturing of metallic components—Process, structure and properties. *Prog Mater Sci*. 2018;92:112–224.
8. King WE, Anderson AT, Ferencz RM, et al. Laser powder bed fusion additive manufacturing. *Appl Phys Rev*. 2015;2:041304.
9. Turner BN, Strong R, Gold SA. Review of FDM process. *Rapid Prototyp J*. 2014;20:192–204.
10. Mercelis P, Kruth JP. Residual stresses in selective laser sintering and selective laser melting. *Rapid Prototyp J*. 2006;12:254–265.
11. Kruth JP, Levy G, Klocke F, Childs THC. Consolidation phenomena in laser and powder-bed processes. *CIRP Ann*. 2007;56:730–759.
12. Torrado AR, Roberson DA. Failure analysis and anisotropy of 3D printed PLA. *J Fail Anal Prev*. 2016;16:154–164.
13. Farah S, Anderson DG, Langer R. Physical and mechanical properties of PLA. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev*. 2016;107:367–392.
14. Kumar R, Singh R, Farina I. Additive manufacturing of polymer composites. *Compos Part B*. 2018;149:130–166.

15. Duty CE, Kunc V, Compton B, et al. Structure and mechanical behavior of fiber-reinforced AM composites. *Addit Manuf.* 2017;16:118–127.
16. Denlinger ER, Gouge M, Michaleris P. Thermomechanical modelling in additive manufacturing. *Comput Mater Sci.* 2017;113:331–342.
17. Michaleris P. Modeling metal additive manufacturing processes. *Finite Elem Anal Des.* 2014;86:51–60.
18. Grasso M, Colosimo BM. Process defects and in-situ monitoring in additive manufacturing. *Meas Sci Technol.* 2017;28:044005.
19. Reiner J, Doubrovski EL. Machine learning in additive manufacturing. *Addit Manuf.* 2022;50:102548.
20. Singh S, Ramakrishna S, Gupta MK. Residual stresses in additive manufacturing: A review. *J Mater Res Technol.* 2021;12:1888–1905.
21. Zhang Y, Chou K. Thermal behavior in high-temperature additive manufacturing. *Addit Manuf.* 2020;36:101558.
22. DebRoy T, Mukherjee T, Wei HL, et al. Scientific, technological and economic issues in metal additive manufacturing. *Nat Rev Mater.* 2019;4:607–630.
23. PRISMA. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement. *BMJ.* 2021;372:n71.
24. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses. *PLoS Med.* 2009;6:e1000097.
25. Snyder H. Literature review as a research methodology. *J Bus Res.* 2019;104:333–339.
26. Bellehumeur C, Li L, Sun Q, Gu P. Modeling of heat transfer in fused deposition modeling. *J Manuf Process.* 2004;6:170–178.
27. Gusarov AV, Kruth JP. Heat transfer modelling in selective laser melting. *Int J Heat Mass Transfer.* 2005;48:3423–3434.
28. Sun Q, Rizvi G, Bellehumeur C, Gu P. Effect of processing conditions on bonding strength in FDM. *Rapid Prototyp J.* 2008;14:72–80.
29. Ahn SH, Montero M, Odell D, Roundy S, Wright PK. Anisotropic material properties of FDM parts. *Rapid Prototyp J.* 2002;8:248–257.
30. Spoerk M, Sapkota J, Weingrill G, et al. Optimization of extrusion temperature in FDM. *Polymers.* 2018;10:313.
31. King WE, Barth HD, Castillo VM, et al. Observation of keyhole mode in laser melting. *J Mater Process Technol.* 2014;214:2915–2925.
32. Lewandowski JJ, Seifi M. Metal additive manufacturing review. *Annu Rev Mater Res.* 2016;46:151–186.
33. Torrado Perez AR, Roberson DA, Wicker RB. Fracture behaviour of PLA. *J Fail Anal Prev.* 2014;14:343–353.
34. Farah S, Anderson DG, Langer R. Physical properties of PLA. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2016;107:367–392.
35. Torrado AR, Shemelya CM, English JD, et al. Characterization of ABS manufactured by FDM. *Addit Manuf.* 2015;6:16–29.
36. Berretta S, Davies R, Shyng YT, Wang Y, Ghita O. PEEK additive manufacturing review. *Polymers.* 2017;9:687.
37. DebRoy T, Mukherjee T, Milewski JO, et al. Additive manufacturing of metallic components. *Prog Mater Sci.* 2019;92:112–224.
38. Mukherjee T, Zhang W, DebRoy T. Residual stress prediction in additive manufacturing. *Comput Mater Sci.* 2017;126:360–372.
39. Tekinalp HL, Kunc V, Velez-Garcia GM, et al. Highly oriented carbon fiber composites for AM. *Compos Sci Technol.* 2014;105:144–150.
40. Caminero MA, Chacón JM, García-Moreno I, Rodríguez GP. Mechanical behaviour of PLA reinforced with carbon fibres. *Compos Part B.* 2018;151:57–63.
41. Denlinger ER, Irwin J, Michaleris P. Thermomechanical modelling of AM. *Addit Manuf.* 2014;1–4:73–80.
42. Markl M, Körner C. Multiscale modelling in additive manufacturing. *Annu Rev Mater Res.* 2016;46:93–123.

43. Mercelis P, Kruth JP. Residual stresses in selective laser melting. *Rapid Prototyp J.* 2006;12:254–265.
 44. Tang M, Pistorius PC. Defects in additive manufacturing. *JOM.* 2017;69:516–527.
 45. Grasso M, Colosimo BM. Process monitoring in additive manufacturing. *Meas Sci Technol.* 2017;28:044005.
 46. Tao F, Qi Q, Liu A, Kusiak A. Digital twins in manufacturing. *IEEE Trans Ind Inform.* 2019;15:2405–2415.
 47. Buswell RA, Leal de Silva WR, Jones SZ, Dirrenberger J. 3D concrete printing review. *Cem Concr Res.* 2018;112:37–49.
 48. Ngo TD. Future of additive manufacturing. *Compos Part B.* 2018;143:172–196.
 49. Gibson I, Rosen DW, Stucker B. *Additive Manufacturing Technologies.* 3rd ed. Springer; 2021.
 50. ASTM International. *ASTM ISO/ASTM 52900: Additive Manufacturing—General Principles.* ASTM; 2021.
-